Cosmology AS7009, 2011
Lecture 6

Galaxy Cluster Abell 2218
NASA, A. Fruchter and the ERO Team (STScI) • STScI-PRC00-08

HST • WFPC2

Outline

- What is dark matter?
- How much dark matter is there in the Universe?
- Evidence of dark matter
- Viable dark matter candidates
- Cold dark matter (CDM)
- Problems with CDM
- Search strategies and possible detections
- Alternatives to dark matter

Covers chapter 8 in Ryden + extra stuff

---

What is Dark Matter?

Dark Matter

Luminous Matter

First detection of dark matter

Fritz Zwicky (1933): Dark matter in the Coma Cluster

How Much Dark Matter is There in The Universe?

$\Omega_M = \rho_M / \rho_c$

Recent measurements:

$\Omega_M \sim 0.3$, $\Omega_\Lambda \sim 0.7$

$\Omega_{Lum} \sim 0.005$

~2%
(Luminous)

~98%
(Dark)


How Do We Know That it Exists?

- Cosmological Parameters + Inventory of Luminous material
- Dynamics of galaxies
- Dynamics and gas properties of galaxy clusters
- Gravitational Lensing

Dynamics of Galaxies I

Galaxy ≈ Stars + Gas + Dust + Supermassive Black Hole + Dark Matter

Dynamics of Galaxies II

V_{rot}

Observed

Expected

R

R

Visible galaxy

Visible galaxy

Dark matter halo

Dynamics of Galaxy Clusters

Balance between kinetic and potential energy → Virial theorem:

$$M_{vir} = \frac{\langle v^2 \rangle R}{G}$$

Hot Gas in Galaxy Clusters

High mass required to keep the hot gas from leaving the cluster!

If gas in hydrostatic equilibrium → Luminosity and temperature profile → mass profile

X-ray gas, $T = 10^7 - 10^8$ K

Gravitational Lensing

Gravitational Lensing II

Galaxy Cluster Abell 2218

NASA, A. Fruchter and the ERO Team (STScI) • STScI-PRC00-08

HST • WFPC2

Suggestion for Literature Exercise: The Bullet Cluster as a proof* of the existence of dark matter

* Note: Not everybody agrees that this is a proof!

Mass-to-Light Ratios

Mass-to-light: $\dfrac{M}{L}$ $\left[\dfrac{M_{solar}}{L_{solar}}\right]$

↖ Observed luminosity

Different choices for M:

M_{tot} = Total mass →
   Dynamical mass-to-light ratio

M_{stars} = Mass of stars & stellar remnants
   → Stellar mass-to-light ratio

Mass-to-Light Ratios II

What are M/L-ratios good for?
The mass-to-light ratio indicates how dark matter-dominated a certain object is.

Higher M/L → More dark-matter dominated

Typically: $(M/L)_{stars}$ < 10 (from models)

But: $(M/L)_{tot}$ ~100 for galaxies
   $(M/L)_{tot}$ ~ 500 for galaxy clusters

$(M/L)_{tot} > (M/L)_{stars}$ → Dark matter!

Baryonic and Non-Baryonic Dark Matter I

Baryons: Ordinary matter made out of three quarks,
like protons and neutrons

BBNS modelling + measurements
of primordial abundances
or CMBR analysis → $\Omega_{baryons} \approx 0.045$

$\Omega_{Baryonic} \approx 0.045$ →

$\Omega_{Non-baryonic} \approx 0.25$ →

$\Omega_M = \Omega_{Baryonic} + \Omega_{Non-baryonic} \approx 0.3$

Baryonic and Non-Baryonic Dark Matter II

Still missing in the local Universe:

● About 1/3 of the baryons →
$\Omega_{DM, baryonic} \sim 0.015$
But note: The missing baryons *may* have been detected at high redshift

● Essentially all of the non-baryons →
$\Omega_{DM, non-baryonic} \sim 0.25$ (assuming $\Omega_M = 0.3$)

MACHOs and WIMPs

● MACHO = MAssive Compact Halo Object

● WIMP = Weakly Interacting Massive Particle

But beware of misconceptions!

A Few Viable Dark Matter Candidates

Baryonic
- Faint stars
- Fractal H_2
- Warm/hot intergalactic gas
- Hot gas around galaxies
- Rydberg matter ✚

Non-baryonic*   *Very Popular!*
- Supersymmetric particles
- Axions
- Sterile neutrinos
- Primordial black holes
- Preon stars ✚
- Quark nuggets
- Mirror matter
- Matter in parallel branes
- Kaluza-Klein particles

* or evading current constraints on the cosmic baryon density

Hot and Cold Dark Matter

- Hot Dark Matter (HDM)
  - Relativistic early on (at decoupling)

- Cold Dark Matter (CDM)
  - Non-relativistic early on (at decoupling)
  - The standard model for the non-baryonic dark matter
  - Successful in explaining the formation of large scale structure

Additional Assumed CDM Properties

- Collisionless – interacts mainly through gravity
- Dissipationless – cannot cool by radiating photons
- Long-lived particles
- Behaves as perfect fluid on large scales
- Adiabatic primordial density perturbations, following a scale-invariant power spectrum

More in structure formation lecture!

Problems with CDM

- Dark halo density profiles ⬅
- Dark halo substructure ⬅
- Dark halo shapes
- The angular momentum problem

Dark Halo Density Profiles I

Dark halo
Visible galaxy

V_{rot}

Log Density

Radius

Log Radius

Spectroscopy → Rotation Curve → Halo Density Profile

Dark Halo Density Profiles II

Predicted by the Cold Dark Matter Scenario (density cusp)

Favoured by observations (density core)

$\log_{10} \rho$ (M_{solar} kpc^{-3})

$\log_{10} R$ (kpc)

Dark Halo Density Profiles III

But there are plenty of complications…

Currently the favoured solution

- Baryonic processes alter density profile?
- Non-spherical dark matter halos?
- Best target galaxies do not sit in typical dark halos?
- N-body simulations responsible for the predicted CDM halo profile prediction not reliable?

Dark Halo Substructure I

The dark halos around galaxies form the merger of smaller halos, but many remnants of the smaller halos survive →
The dark halos of galaxies are not perfectly smooth!

~10 % of the dark matter is in clumps
(a.k.a. subhalos or halo substructure)

Dark Halo Substructure II

Should not dwarf galaxies form inside the subhalos?

Naïve expectation   Observed

A factor of 10—100 too few satellite galaxies around the Milky Way!

Dark Halo Substructure III

The solution: Dark galaxies?

- Dark galaxy: A dark subhalo which either lacks baryons, or inside which the baryons form very few stars
- Possible detections exist of galaxies with very high mass-to-light ratios (M/L≥1000), but not yet in sufficient numbers to solve the problem

How to detect halo substructure

Dark halos can cause image splitting in quasars on angular scales of ~ 1 arcsecond (macrolensing)

Observer

Multiply-imaged Quasar

Lens galaxy (with dark halo)

How to detect halo substructure II

Halo substructure can cause additional splitting of each image on angular scales of ~0.001 arcseconds (millilensing)

Halo substructure

Macrolensing (1")   Millilensing (≤0.001")

Zoom-in

Active field of research at Stockholm Observatory!

Alternatives to CDM

- Warm dark matter
- Mixed dark matter (cold + hot)
- Self-interacting dark matter
- Decaying dark matter
- Alternative theories of gravity

## How to Search for the Dark Matter Particles

- Gravitational microlensing by MACHOs ⬅
- WIMP direct detection
  - Recoil in detector ⬅
  - Annular modulation ⬅
- WIMP indirect detection
  - Cosmic rays from annihilating WIMPs
  - Neutrinos from WIMP annihilation in Sun/Earth ⬅
  - Photons (gamma, radio) from WIMP annihilation in the Galactic Centre

Gravitational Microlensing by MACHOs

Possible detections I

MACHO project: monitoring of 12×10^6 stars in the Large Magellanic Cloud

Very controversial detection of $M_{compact} \sim 10^{-1} M_{solar}$, constituting $\approx 20\%$ of the dark halo

Direct WIMP detection

Problem: Background of other rare reactions

Direct WIMP Detection in Ancient Mica

WIMP recoils causes chemical changes in ancient mica → Natural detector with integration time ~ 1 Gyr

Annular Modulation

WIMP wind from the dark halo
should show seasonal variations!

Possible detections II

WIMP search by the DAMA experiment

Detected annular modulation signature
$\rightarrow \geq 10^{-3}$ of halo fraction in WIMPs

Indirect WIMP detection by Neutrinos from the Sun/Earth

WIMPs may accumulate in the
potential well of the Sun/Earth,
and annihilate to produce neutrinos

Is There no Alternative to Dark Matter?

"I invite the reader (...) to test whether he/she
is not left with some uneasiness as our wonderful
'standard' cosmology seems in fact to be so far
essentially based on
  a) a *Dark Matter* we do not detect
  b) a *Dark Energy* we do not understand
  c) a fraction of Baryons we cannot
     completely find!
Yet everything seems to work;
           isn't this reminiscent of epicycles?"
                                    L. Guzzo (2002)

MOND
(MOdified Newtonian Dynamics; Milgrom 1984)

Newtonian dynamics: $a = MG/r^2$

MOND: $a^2/a_0 = MG/r^2$

in the limit of small accelerations

$\rightarrow \quad \mu(a/a_0)a = MG/r^2$

where $\mu(x) \approx 1$ when $x \gg 1$

$\mu(x) \approx x$ when $x \ll 1$

MOND II

From Stacy McGaugh's homepage:

"'You do not know the Power of the Dark Side. Join me, and together
we can use dark matter to make galaxy rotation curves flat.'
I often hear this sort of paternalistic line from well intentioned
senior astronomers. My response is the same as Luke's, with analogous
consequences for my career."

Known problems with MOND

- Original MOND: Phenomenological extension of Newtonian gravity →
  No predictions for e.g. gravitational lensing or cosmic expansion
  Solved by Bekenstein (2004)!
- Fails to explain the dynamics of galaxy clusters – some dark matter is still required
- Fails to explain difference between systems of similar baryonic masses, e.g. globular clusters and dwarf galaxies

Suggestion for literature Exercises: Alternative theories of gravity vs. Dark matter

- Many examples (pick _one_):
  - MOND – Lots of work done. Fairly easy to understand at an undergraduate level
  - MOdified Gravity (MOG) – Slightly more technical. Requires some understanding of tensors.
- Can GR explain rotation curves without dark matter?