Physics of Galaxies 2017 10 credits Lecture 8: The High-Redshift Universe



Outline: Part I

The first stars and galaxies
End of the dark ages
Pop III stars
Dark stars
First galaxies

Outline: Part II

Finding high-redshift objects
 Deep fields

- Gravitational lensing
- Dropout techniques
- Ly α searches
- Future prospects

The end of the dark ages



S.G. Djorgovski et al. & Digital Media Center, Caltech

Merging cold dark matter halos

z=11.9

800 x 600 physical kpc

Diemand, Kuhlen, Madau 2006

Formation of a ~10¹² M_{solar} dark matter halo Simulation runs from z \approx 12 to 0 (t_{Univ} \approx 0.25 to 13.7 Gyr)

Structure formation

Minihalos

First stars (in minihalos)

First galaxy



Population I, II and III

- Population I: Metal-rich stars
 Example: Stars in the Milky Way disk
- Population II: Metal-poor stars
 Example: Stars in the Stellar halo of the Milky Way
- Population III: (Almost) Metal-free stars
 Example: Stars forming in minihalos at z≈20

Star formation in dark matter halos



Dark matter halo with gas inside

The gas cools by radiating photons and contracts

Star formation

Problem: Low metallicity at high redshifts \rightarrow Lack of efficient coolants

Population III stars

- These stars will be *very* massive, hot and short-lived.
- Mass range 10¹-10³ Msolar (but predictions still shaky)
- The first ones are expected in minihalos – prior to the formation of the first galaxies.
- Feedback → Only a few stars (maybe just one) per minihalo



Intermission: The first stars(?)





Normal star ≈ hydrogen bomb



Dark matter annihilation



Dark stars







WIMP annihilation in centre of CDM halo

Gas cools and falls into the centre

Star fueled by WIMP annihilation rather than hydrogen fusion

Dark star properties

- Conventional Pop III stars
 - Teff ~ 50 000-100 000 K
 - M ~ 10¹-10³ Msolar
 - Lifetime $\tau \sim 10^6$ -10⁷ yr
- Pop III dark stars
 - Teff ≈ 4000-50000 K Cooler!
 - M ~ 10²-10⁷ Msolar More massive???
 - Lifetime $\tau \sim 10^6$ -10¹⁰ yr More long-lived???

Problem: Still no consensus on likely masses or life times of dark stars



The sizes of primordial stars I



The Sun

Vanilla population III star

The sizes of primordial stars II



The Sun



Supermassive dark star

Formation of the first galaxies

z = 40.00

t_H = 64.8 My

Formation of a $\sim 10^7 M_{solar}$ dark matter halo

Simulation runs from $z \approx 40$ to 11 ($t_{Univ} \approx 65$ to 430 Myr)

Length: 150 kpc (comoving)

x—y plane

10

Greif et al. 08

Star formation inside and outside the first galaxies

Object qualifies Minihalo mergers Star formation as a *galaxy* and further in minihalos star formation $z \approx 23$ z≈18 $Z \approx 11$ t_{Univ}≈145 Myr t_{Univ}≈ 215 Myr t_{Univ}≈430 Myr

Greif et al. 08

Gas density shapshots

A galaxy is born (at $z \approx 10$)



Greif et al. o8

Reionization



What caused reionization?

- Population III stars in minihalos?
- *High-redshift galaxies?* ← Popular scenario
- Accreting black holes?
- Decay of exotic particles?

Intermission: Name the telescope!



Intermission: Name the telescope!



Intermission: Name the telescope!



How to find and study highredshift galaxies

Imaging strategies

- Deep field-style observations
 - Very long exposures of single patch (devoid of bright foreground objects) in the sky

Cluster-lensing observations

 Hunt for gravitationally lensed background objects in relatively short exposures (few hours per filter) of a lowz galaxy cluster

The Hubble Extreme Deep Field



Total exposure time: 23 days (2 million seconds)



The Hubble Extreme Deep Field



Example of one of the most distant galaxy candidates so far



2.4 arcsec x 2.4 arcsec



Bouwens et al. (2010) z ≈ 10 candidate

Cluster lensing I



Galaxy cluster at z≈0.5

Cluster lensing II



Magnification map

Pros and Cons of Cluster Lensing

Magnification $\mu = 10$

Observer

Galaxy cluster

+ Background sources appear brighter by a factor μ
 - The volume probed becomes smaller by a factor μ
 Bottom line: Lensed survey fields can be superior for sources
 that are <u>very faint</u>, <u>not too rare</u> and <u>not too highly clustered</u>

Intermission: Why are redshift records important?

Notably distant objects [edit]

1 Gly = 1 billion light-years.

Most distant astronomical objects with spectroscopic redshift determinations

Name	Redshift (z)	Light travel distance [§] (Gly) ^[1]	Туре	Notes
GN-z11	z=11.09	13.39	Galaxy	Confirmed galaxy ^[2]
EGSY8p7	z=8.68	13.23	Galaxy	Confirmed galaxy ^[3]
GRB 090423	z=8.2	13.18	Gamma-ray burst	[4][5]
EGS-zs8-1	z=7.73	13.13	Galaxy	Confirmed galaxy ^[6]
z8 GND 5296	z=7.51	13.10	Galaxy	Confirmed galaxy ^{[7][8]}
A1689-zD1	z=7.5	13.10	Galaxy	Galaxy ^[9]
SXDF-NB1006-2	z=7.215	13.07	Galaxy	Galaxy ^{[10][11]}
GN-108036	z=7.213	13.07	Galaxy	Galaxy ^{[11][12]}
BDF-3299	z=7.109	13.05	Galaxy	[13]
ULAS J1120+0641	z=7.085	13.05	Quasar	[14]
A1703 zD6	z=7.045	13.04	Galaxy	[11]

Selecting high-z galaxy candidates

Two techniques:

- Dropout selection
 - Crude redshift estimator ($\Delta z \approx 1.0$)
 - But works well for all high-z, star-forming galaxies

Lyman-alpha surveys

- High-precision redshift estimation ($\Delta z \approx 0.1$)
- But doesn't work well at z>6
- And not all galaxies are Lylpha-emitters

The UV/optical spectra of galaxies I



Young galaxy

Old galaxy

The UV/optical spectra of galaxies





Drop-out techniques: Lyman-Break Galaxies



U





Reionization-epoch galaxies



Drop-out techniques: z>6 objects

Eventually, the break shifts into the near-IR. Example: z-band dropout (z≈6.5)



Intermission: Which of these drop-out candidates is likely to have the highest redshift?



Lyman-alpha surveys

Potentially the brightest line in rest frame UV/optical
Two narrowband images (covering continuum and line) required for survey of redshift range (Δz~0.1)

Sharp drop (absorption in neutral IGM)



Lyman- α at z=7

Problem I: Lyman-α notoriously difficult to predict



- Lyα resonant line → random walk through neutral interstellar medium
- Many Lyα photons destroyed by dust before emerging
- Lyα flux ranges from low to very high

Problem II: Lyman- α largely absorbed in the neutral intergalactic medium at z>6



Abrupt drop → Lyα not good way to find z>6 galaxies (but may be good way to probe reionization)

Fraction of Lyα photons reaching the observer

Hayes et al. 11

Photometric redshifts

• Estimate the galaxy type (morphological) and assume that the galaxy is identical to some template (often an average over many galaxy spectra of similar type)



New telescope for high-z studies: ALMA



Atacama Large Millimeter/ submillimeter Array (ALMA): An array of seventy 12-m antennas operating @ 200-10000 µm (sub-mm)

Can be used to search for dust emission and emission lines like [CII] @ 158 µm and [OIII] @88 µm (rest-frame) from z>6 galaxies



Dust continuum flux drops slowly with z (if no source evolution).

Future prospects: JWST



James Webb Space Telescope '*The first light machine*' To be launched by NASA / ESA / CSA in 2018

6.5 m mirror Observations (a) 0.6-29 μ m Useful for: Galaxies up to z \approx 15 Pop III supernovae

Why infrared?



Zackrisson et al. (2001) model

Future prospects: E-ELT



39 m European Extremely Large Telescope (E-ELT) estimated to be completed in 2024.