

# Modern Cosmology 2005

## Exercise set 2

### To be solved in exercise session 2

#### 1. *Freeze-out I.*

Particles in the early Universe will remain in thermal equilibrium as long as their interaction rate  $\Gamma$  dominates over the cosmic expansion rate, i.e.  $\Gamma > H$ . When  $\Gamma < H$ , the particles will decouple (freeze out). To estimate the freeze-out temperature  $T$ , it is useful to have a relation for  $H$  as a function of  $T$ . Derive such a relation for the radiation-dominated era of the Universe.

#### 2. *Freeze-out II.*

A hypothetical fermion with two internal degrees of freedom freezes out while it is still relativistic. Make a rough estimate of the freeze-out temperature, assuming  $\langle \sigma|v| \rangle \approx 4 \times 10^{-42} \left(\frac{T}{\text{Gev}}\right)^2 \text{ m}^3 \text{ s}^{-1}$ .

#### 3. *Cosmic microwave background radiation (CMBR) I.*

The CMBR can be very well represented by a black-body spectrum with a current temperature of 2.728 K. Use this information to estimate its contribution  $\Omega_{\text{CMBR}}$  to the cosmological density.

#### 4. *Standard candles.*

Estimate the expected apparent magnitude  $m_B$  of a supernova type Ia (absolute magnitude  $M_B \approx -19.6$ ) at a redshift of  $z = 0.8$  in a Universe described by  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$ ,  $H_0 = 72 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$ . Cosmological  $k$ -corrections and dust effects may be neglected.

#### 5. *The era of dark-energy domination.*

Estimate the redshift at which the Universe became dark-energy dominated, assuming  $\Omega_M = 0.3$  and  $\Omega_{\text{DE}} = 0.7$  today, and that the dark energy has an equation of state ( $p = w c^2 \rho$ ):

- $w = -1.0$  (i.e. a cosmological constant)
- $w = -1.5$

#### 6. *The Big Rip.*

If the dark energy has an equation of state  $w < -1$ , the Universe may be ripped apart as the scale factor  $a \rightarrow \infty$  when  $t \rightarrow t_{\text{Rip}}$ . Derive an analytical expression for  $t_{\text{Rip}}$ , under the assumption that the Universe has a flat geometry and is currently dominated by dark energy with constant  $w$ . Predict the time remaining before the Big Rip, in scenarios where:

- $w = -1.1$
- $w = -1.5$
- $w = -2.0$

### Hand-in exercises (deadline October 14)

#### 7. *The radiation-dominated epoch*

Starting from the Friedmann equations, derive an expression which relates time to temperature in the radiation-dominated Universe (assuming a flat geometry), and derive the time corresponding to a temperature of 3 MeV.

#### 8. *CMBR II*

The flux of the sky-averaged CMBR is peaking at about  $3.8 \times 10^{-15} \text{ ergs cm}^{-2} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ . Let's assume, that due to a region of unusually low density, the temperature of the CMBR is

reduced by one part in  $10^5$  over a certain patch in the sky, compared to the average. Calculate the corresponding flux from that region at

- a) The frequency at which the flux in the patch is peaking, assuming it to follow the Planck distribution
- b) A wavelength of 0.7 mm, under the same assumption.

**9. Dark energy and supernovae type Ia.**

The redshifts and apparent magnitudes of a small sample of supernovae type Ia are listed in Table 1. Use this data to determine which of the following three cosmological models is the most likely:

- a)  $\Omega_M = 1.0$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.0$
- b)  $\Omega_M = 0.3$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 0.7$
- c)  $\Omega_M = 0.5$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 1.5$

You can assume  $H_0 = 72 \text{ km s}^{-1} \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$  in all cases. Both dust extinction corrections and cosmological  $k$ -corrections may be ignored. The estimated photometric  $1\sigma$  errors of the measurements are  $\sigma_m = 0.3$  magnitudes. Errors in the redshift determinations can be assumed negligible. Note: As this is just a toy example with artificial data, you should not expect the concordance model to win by default :)

Table 1: Supernova Type Ia data

$z$	$m_B$
0.022	15.3
0.041	17.0
0.057	17.4
0.083	18.3
0.11	18.7
0.35	22.0
0.42	22.1
0.57	23.5
0.83	24.9
0.90	24.5
0.98	25.2
1.10	25.6
1.30	25.2
1.50	25.7
1.9	26.9

**Erik Zackrisson, September 2005**