Introduction to Numerical Hydrodynamics and Radiative Transfer

Part II: Hydrodynamics, Lecture 6

HT 2012

Susanne Höfner Susanne.Hoefner@physics.uu.se

Introduction to Numerical Hydrodynamics

3. Non-linear Advection

3.1 Introduction of Burgers' Equation

The Euler equations, 1D:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho u) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho u u + P) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho u^{2} + \rho e\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho u^{2} + \rho e + P\right)u = 0$$

The Euler equations, 1D:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u u + P) = 0$$

reformulate the equation of motion:





The Euler equations, 1D:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u u + P) = 0$$

reformulate the equation of motion:

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (\rho u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 0$$

The Euler equations, 1D:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u u + P) = 0$$

reformulate the equation of motion:

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (\rho u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 0$$

... and neglect pressure gradients:

The Euler equations, 1D:

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u) = 0$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u u + P) = 0$$

reformulate the equation of motion:

$$\rho \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + (\rho u) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 0$$

... and neglect pressure gradients:

Burgers' equation captures the essential non-linearity of the 1D Euler equation of motion.

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = 0$$

Burgers' Equation: Definitions

inviscid Burgers' Equation:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial \frac{1}{2}v^2}{\partial x} = 0$$

in conservation form (flux $\frac{1}{2}v^2$)

... in quasi-linear form:

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \, \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$

characteristic curves c(t):

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}t} = v \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad c(t) = v(x_0) \ t + x_0$$

velocity v is constant along characteristics (proof by checking, dv/dt = 0)

 \Rightarrow 'graphical solution'



Burgers' Equation: Solutions

inviscid Burgers' Equation:



Shock formation in Burgers' equation.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}t} = v \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad c(t) = v(x_0) \ t + x_0$$

velocity v is constant along characteristics

 \Rightarrow 'graphical solution'

Burgers' Equation: Solutions

inviscid Burgers' Equation:



Triple-valued solution to Burgers' equation at time $t > T_b$.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}t} = v \qquad \Longrightarrow \qquad c(t) = v(x_0) \ t + x_0$$

velocity v is constant along characteristics

 \Rightarrow 'graphical solution'

Triple-valued solutions that make sense ...



Triple-valued solutions that don't make sense ...



An acoustic wave of finite amplitude, even if it starts with a perfect sinusoidal shape and propagates in an undisturbed medium of exactly uniform properties, would inevitably steepen in its waveform.

Triple-valued solutions that don't make sense ...



The tendency for nonlinearities to steepen the wave profile, which would produce multiple values for fluid properties such as gas density and velocity, must be eventually offset by the onset of strong viscous forces. The balance of the viscous forces and the steepening tendency mediates a shock, which is approximated in ideal fluid flow as a discontinuous jump of gas properties across the front.

Burgers' Equation: Viscosity

Viscous and inviscid Burgers' Equation:



Solution to the viscous Burgers' equation for two different values of ϵ .

Goal: capture the vanishing viscosity solution by solving the inviscid equation ...

Burgers' Equation: Compression Waves

A compression wave with $\partial v / \partial x < 0$ steepens with time and characteristic curves can cross: multiple-valued solution?

The viscous Burgers equation is a parabolic PDE and has a unique solution for all times t > 0.

Vanishing viscosity: we search for a solution of the inviscid Burgers equation which is a solution of the viscous Burgers equation in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Instead of a multiple-valued solution we get a discontinuity where the characteristics end.

Discontinuities (shocks) are unavoidable.

Discontinuities should be allowed in the initial conditions.

→ Riemann problem: conservation law together with piecewise constant data with a single discontinuity.

piecewise constant initial data:

$$v(x,0) = \begin{cases} v_l & x < 0 \\ v_r & x > 0 \end{cases}$$







The Riemann Problem: Shock Speed



over Δt and Δx results in

 $\int_{x}^{x+\Delta x} \left[q(x,t+\Delta t) - q(x,t)\right] dx + \int_{t}^{t+\Delta t} \left[f(q(x+\Delta x,t)) - f(q(x,t))\right] dt = 0$

For almost constant states and fluxes to the left and right we get

$$\Delta x q_{\rm l} - \Delta x q_{\rm r} + \Delta t f(q_{\rm r}) - \Delta t f(q_{\rm l}) = O(\Delta t^2)$$

For $\Delta x = s \ \Delta t$ and $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$ we get the shock speed

$$s = \frac{f(q_{\rm r}) - f(q_{\rm l})}{q_{\rm r} - q_{\rm l}} \longrightarrow \text{for Burgers' equ.:} \qquad s = \frac{\frac{1}{2}v_{\rm r}^2 - \frac{1}{2}v_{\rm l}^2}{v_{\rm r} - v_{\rm l}} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{\rm r} + v_{\rm l})$$









 $\Rightarrow \text{ infinitely many (!)} \\ \text{weak solutions ...}$









 $\Rightarrow infinitely many (!)$ weak solutions ...





vanishing viscosity solution!

The Riemann Problem: Similarity Solutions

The quasi-linear PDE

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial t} + \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}q} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 0$$

for the Riemann problem

$$q(x,0) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} q_{
m l} & ext{if} \; x < 0 \ q_{
m r} & ext{if} \; x > 0 \end{array}
ight.$$

has similarity solutions of the form

$$q(x,t) = ilde{q}\left(rac{x}{t}
ight)$$
 .

Inserting this ansatz into the PDE gives for t > 0

$$-\frac{x}{t^2}\,\tilde{q}' + \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}q}\,\frac{1}{t}\,\tilde{q}' = 0$$

with the solutions

$$\tilde{q}'\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = \text{const} \quad \text{or} \quad \tilde{q}'\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \neq 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{d}f}{\mathrm{d}q}\left(\tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right)\right) = \frac{x}{t}$$

 \rightarrow for Burgers' equation: v=x/t

Burgers' Equation: Expansion Waves

Smooth regions with $\partial v/\partial x > 0$ produce a rarefaction wave or expansion wave.

Steps with $v_{left} < v_{right}$:

- expansion shock with characteristics going out of it is a weak solution
- but: any perturbation or small (but non-zero) viscosity would smooth the step and cause a rarefaction wave (rarefaction fan)

Only solutions that fulfil an entropy condition are allowed.

Lax entropy condition: For a convex scalar conservation law, a discontinuity propagating with speed *s* satisfies the Lax entropy condition if $v_{left} > s > v_{right}$

→ expansion shocks are not allowed an entropy condition destroys time-reversibility

Introduction to Numerical Hydrodynamics

3. Non-linear Advection

3.2 Numerical Examples for Burgers' Equation

conservation form

quasi-linear form

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{2}v^2\right) = 0 \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = 0$$
conservative and non-conservative upwind* schemes:
$$v_i^{n+1} = v_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2} \left((v_i^n)^2 - (v_{i-1}^n)^2 \right)$$

$$v_i^{n+1} = v_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} v_i^n \left(v_i^n - v_{i-1}^n \right)$$
* for $v > 0$

Example: Gaussian data



Initial data (red) and numerical solutions (blue) using the conservative (left panel) and non-conservative (right panel) upwind schemes. Note the change in the area under the curve and the wrong shock speed in the non-conservative case.

Example: Step function (Riemann, Case I: known shock speed)



Exact solution and numerical solution using the conservative (left panel) and non-conservative (right panel) upwind schemes. Clearly wrong shock speed (= 0) in the non-conservative case (right panel; no shock propagation, v(x,t) = v(x,0) for all t>0)...

conservation form

quasi-linear form



wrong shock speed, area under v-curve not conserved

A conservative scheme is crucial ! ... but is it sufficient?

conservation form

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{2} v^2 \right) = 0$$
conservative
upwind* scheme
$$v_i^{n+1} = v_i^n - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2} \left((v_i^n)^2 - (v_{i-1}^n)^2 \right) \quad * \text{ for } v > 0$$

Lax-Wendroff Theorem:

If the solution of a conservative method converges $(\Delta x \rightarrow 0)$, it converges towards a weak solution of the conservation law.

Note: - convergence as such is not guaranteed

- selection of 'right' weak solution (entropy) not guaranteed

Flux splitting: adapting schemes written for one sign of the velocity to allow for both signs, still guaranteeing proper upwinding,

$$f(q) = f^+(q) + f^-(q)$$
 with $\frac{\mathrm{d}f^+}{\mathrm{d}q} \ge 0$, $\frac{\mathrm{d}f^-}{\mathrm{d}q} \le 0$

Example: extension of FTBS scheme, stable for both signs of *v*:

$$\begin{split} f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} &= \begin{cases} f(\rho_{i}^{n}) & \text{if } v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} > 0\\ f(\rho_{i+1}^{n}) & \text{if } v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} \frac{f(q_{i+1}^{n}) - f(q_{i}^{n})}{q_{i+1}^{n} - q_{i}^{n}} & \text{if } q_{i+1}^{n} \neq q_{i}^{n}\\ \frac{df}{dq}(q_{i}^{n}) & \text{if } q_{i+1}^{n} = q_{i}^{n} \end{cases} \\ \text{e.g., for Burgers' equation:} \qquad velocity at cell boundary\\ f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(v_{i}^{n})^{2} & \text{if } v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} > 0\\ \frac{1}{2}(v_{i+1}^{n})^{2} & \text{if } v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \leq 0 \end{cases} \quad v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{2}(v_{i}^{n} + v_{i+1}^{n}) \end{cases} . \end{split}$$

Example: Expansion shock and rarefaction fan



The initial data (left panel) should result in a rarefaction fan (right panel, black and blue curves). Instead, the extended FTBS scheme produces a stationary expansion shock (red curve) that violates the entropy condition (solution stationary because f=1/2 everywhere).

Entropy fix:

- for most Riemann problems, the extended FTBS scheme actually produces initially the right result
- only for a transonic rarefaction wave it differs (see last example)
- for Burgers' equation, the flux through the stagnation point (v=0) in a transonic rarefaction wave is $f = v^2/2 = 0$
 - \Rightarrow extend the scheme with an additional branch (entropy fix):

$$f_{i+\frac{1}{2}}^{n} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} (v_{i}^{n})^{2} & \text{if } v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} > 0 \text{ and } v_{i} \ge 0\\ \frac{1}{2} (v_{i+1}^{n})^{2} & \text{if } v_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \le 0 \text{ and } v_{i+1} \le 0\\ 0 & \text{if } v_{i} < 0 < v_{i+1} \end{cases}$$

Alternative: entropy production by artificial viscosity, add an artificial diffusion term to the flux.

Concepts from the linear world:

- Consistency:

the same (vanishing truncation error for Δt , $\Delta x \rightarrow 0$)

- Conservativity:

the same (now even more important)

- Stability:
 - o Linear stability: not directly applicable
 - o Total variation diminishing (TVD): applicable
 - o Alternative: base scheme on concepts that work in the linear case and perform lots of tests for the non-linear PDE

Burgers' Equation – Homework 3

Compute the numerical solution of Burgers equation

)

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{2} v^2 \right) = 0$$

using the conservative and non-conservative upwind* schemes

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu_{i}^{n+1} &= \nu_{i}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{2} \left((\nu_{i}^{n})^{2} - (\nu_{i-1}^{n})^{2} \right) \\
\nu_{i}^{n+1} &= \nu_{i}^{n} - \frac{\Delta t}{\Delta x} \nu_{i}^{n} \left(\nu_{i}^{n} - \nu_{i-1}^{n} \right) \\
\end{aligned}$$
* for $\nu > 0$

(a) for the same set-up as in Homework 1&2 (initial conditions, range, numerical parameters, with *v* taking the role of *ρ*), and
(b) adding +1 to the initial data everywhere (to avoid *v=0*).

Compare the results to the exact solution (note: the discontinuity propagates with shock speed $s = (v_{left} + v_{right})/2$).